A Note on Radio Antipodal Colourings of Paths

Riadh Khennoufa and Olivier Togni * LE2I, UMR 5158 CNRS, Université de Bourgogne BP 47870, 21078 Dijon cedex, France

Revised version:September 9, 2005

Abstract

The radio antipodal number of a graph G is the smallest integer c such that there exists an assignment $f: V(G) \to \{1, 2, \ldots, c\}$ satisfying $|f(u) - f(v)| \ge D - d(u, v)$ for every two distinct vertices u and v of G, where D is the diameter of G. In this note we determine the exact value of the antipodal number of the path, thus answering the conjecture given in [G. Chartrand, D. Erwin, and P. Zhang. Radio antipodal colorings of graphs, Math. Bohem. 127(1):57–69, 2002]. We also show the connections between this colouring and radio labelings.

Keywords: radio antipodal colouring, radio number, distance labeling Classification (MSC 2000): 05C78, 05C12, 05C15

1 Introduction

Let G be a connected graph and let k be an integer, $k \ge 1$. The distance between two vertices u and v of G is denoted by d(u, v) and the diameter of G by D(G) or simply D. A radio k-colouring f of G is an assignment of positive integers to the vertices of G such that

$$|f(u) - f(v)| \ge 1 + k - d(u, v)$$

for every two distinct vertices u and v of G.

Following the notation of [1, 3], we define the radio k-colouring number $\operatorname{rc}_k(f)$ of a radio k-colouring f of G to be the maximum colour assigned to a vertex of G and the radio k-chromatic number $\operatorname{rc}_k(G)$ to be $\min{\operatorname{rc}_k(f)}$ taken over all radio k-colourings f of G.

Radio k-colourings generalize many graph colourings. For k = 1, $rc_1(G) = \chi(G)$, the chromatic number of G. For k = 2, the radio 2-colouring problem corresponds to the well studied L(2, 1)-colouring problem and $rc_2(G) = \lambda(G)$ (see [5] and references therein). For k = D(G) - 1, the radio (D-1)-colouring is referred to as the radio antipodal colouring, because only antipodal vertices can have the same colour. In that case, $rc_k(G)$ is called the radio antipodal number, also denoted by ac(G). Finally, for the case k = D(G), $rc_k(G)$ is called the radio number and is studied in [1, 6].

^{*}corresponding author, email:olivier.togni@u-bourgogne.fr

In [2] the antipodal number for cycles was discussed and bounds were given. In [3], Chartrand et al. gave general bounds for the antipodal number of a graph. The authors proved the following result for the radio antipodal number of the path:

Theorem 1 ([3]) For every positive integer n,

$$\operatorname{ac}(P_n) \le \left(\begin{array}{c} n-1\\ 2\end{array}\right) + 1.$$

Moreover, they conjectured that the above upper bound is the value of the antipodal number of the path. In [4], the authors found a sharper bound for the antipodal number of an odd path (thus showing that the conjecture was false):

Theorem 2 ([4]) For the path P_n of odd order $n \ge 7$,

$$\operatorname{ac}(P_n) \le \binom{n-1}{2} - \frac{n-1}{2} + 4$$

In this note we completely determine the antipodal number of the path:

Theorem 3 For any $n \ge 5$,

$$\operatorname{ac}(P_n) = \begin{cases} 2p^2 - 2p + 3 & \text{if } n = 2p + 1, \\ 2p^2 - 4p + 5 & \text{if } n = 2p. \end{cases}$$

Notice that for n = 2p + 1 we have $\binom{n-1}{2} - \frac{n-1}{2} + 4 = p(2p-1) - p + 4 = 2$

 $2p^2 - 2p + 4$, thus the bound of Theorem 2 is one from the optimal. Examples of minimal antipodal colourings of P_7 and P_8 are given in Figure 1.



Figure 1: Antipodal colouring of P_7 and P_8 .

In order to prove Theorem 3, we shall use a result of Liu and Zhu [6] about the radio number of the path. Notice that Liu and Zhu allow 0 to be used as a colour but we do not. Then, when presenting their result, we will make the necessary adjustment (adding "one") to be consistent with the rest of the paper.

Theorem 4 ([6]) For any $n \ge 3$

$$\operatorname{rc}_{n-1}(P_n) = \begin{cases} 2p^2 + 3 & \text{if } n = 2p + 1, \\ 2p^2 - 2p + 2 & \text{if } n = 2p. \end{cases}$$

2 Radio k-colourings

Lemma 1 Let G be a graph of order n and let k be an integer. If f is a radio k-colouring of G then, for any integer k' > k, there exists a radio k'-colouring f' of G with $\operatorname{rc}_{k'}(f') \leq \operatorname{rc}_k(f) + (n-1)(k'-k)$.

Proof: We construct a radio k'-colouring f' of G with $\operatorname{rc}_{k'}(f') = c + (n - 1)(k' - k)$ from a radio k-colouring f with $\operatorname{rc}_k(f) = c$ in the following way: Let x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n be an ordering of the vertices of G such that $f(x_i) \leq f(x_{i+1}), 1 \leq i \leq n-1$, and set

$$f'(x_i) = f(x_i) + (i-1)(k'-k).$$

For any two integers *i* and *j*, $1 \le i < j \le n$, we have $|f'(x_j) - f'(x_i)| = |f(x_j) - f(x_i)| + (j - i)(k' - k)$.

As $|f(x_j) - f(x_i)| \ge 1 + k - d(x_j, x_i)$ and $j - i \ge 1$, we obtain $|f'(x_j) - f'(x_i)| \ge 1 + k + (j - i)(k' - k) - d(x_j, x_i) \ge 1 + k' - d(x_j, x_i)$. Thus f' is a radio k'-colouring of G and $\operatorname{rc}_{k'}(f') = c + (n - 1)(k' - k)$.

The above result can be strengthened a little in some cases:

Lemma 2 Let G be a graph of order n and let k, k' be integers, k' > k. Given a radio k-colouring f of G, let x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n be an ordering of the vertices of G such that $f(x_i) \leq f(x_{i+1}), 1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and let $\epsilon_i = |f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})| - (1 + k - d(x_i, x_{i-1})), 2 \leq i \leq n$. Consider a set $I = \{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_s\} \subset \{2, \ldots, n\},$ where $1 \leq s \leq n-1$, such that $i_{j+1} > i_j + 1$ for all $j, 1 \leq j \leq s-1$. Then there exists a radio k'-colouring f' of G with $\operatorname{rc}_{k'}(f') \leq \operatorname{rc}_k(f) + (n-1)(k'-k) - \sum_{i \in I} \min(k'-k, \epsilon_i).$

Proof: A radio k'-colouring f' of G is obtained simply by setting for all j with $1 \le j \le n-1$:

$$f'(x_j) = f(x_j) + (j-1)(k'-k) - \sum_{i \in I, i \le j} \min(k'-k, \epsilon_i).$$

The vertex x_n has the maximum colour: $f'(x_n) = f(x_n) + (n-1)(k'-k) - \sum_{i \in I} \min(k'-k, \epsilon_i) = \operatorname{rc}_k(f) + (n-1)(k'-k) - \sum_{i \in I} \min(k'-k, \epsilon_i).$

Then, for any two integers j_1 and j_2 , $1 \le j_1 < j_2 \le n$, let us show that the condition

$$|f'(x_{j_2}) - f'(x_{j_1})| \ge 1 + k' - d(x_{j_2}, x_{j_1})$$

is verified, i.e. that

$$|f(x_{j_2}) - f(x_{j_1})| + (j_2 - j_1)(k' - k) - \sum_{i \in I, j_1 < i \le j_2} \min(k' - k, \epsilon_i) \ge 1 + k' - d(x_{j_2}, x_{j_1}) + k' - d(x_{j_2}, x_{j_2}) + k' - d(x_{j_2}, x_{j_2}) + k' -$$

If $j_2 = j_1 + 1$, then $|f(x_{j_2}) - f(x_{j_1})| = 1 + k - d(x_{j_2}, x_{j_1}) + \epsilon_{j_2}$. Thus $|f'(x_{j_2}) - f'(x_{j_1})| \ge 1 + k - d(x_{j_2}, x_{j_1}) + \epsilon_{j_2} + (k' - k) - \min(k' - k, \epsilon_{j_2}) \ge 1 + k' - d(x_{j_2}, x_{j_1})$.

If $j_2 > j_1 + 1$, then $\sum_{i \in I, j_1 < i \leq j_2} \min(k' - k, \epsilon_i) \leq (j_2 - j_1 - 1)(k' - k)$ since by the hypothesis there are no two consecutive integers in the set I. Thus $|f'(x_{j_2}) - f'(x_{j_1})| \geq 1 + k - d(x_{j_2}, x_{j_1}) + (j_2 - j_1)(k' - k) - (j_2 - j_1 - 1)(k' - k) = 1 + k' - d(x_{j_2}, x_{j_1}).$

Therefore, f' is a radio k'-colouring of G and $\operatorname{rc}_{k'}(f') = \operatorname{rc}_k(f) + (n-1)(k'-k) - \sum_{i \in I} \min(k'-k, \epsilon_i)$.

3 Antipodal colourings of paths

Theorem 3 derives from the next two theorems.

Theorem 5 For any $n \ge 5$,

$$\operatorname{ac}(P_n) \leq \begin{cases} 2p^2 - 2p + 3 & \text{if } n = 2p + 1, \\ 2p^2 - 4p + 5 & \text{if } n = 2p. \end{cases}$$

Proof: The fact that $ac(P_5) = 7$ is easily checked (see [3]). Thus take $n \ge 6$ and let $P_n = (u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n)$. We consider two cases depending on whether n is even or odd.

Case 1. n = 2p + 1 is odd for an integer $p \ge 3$. Define a colouring f of P_{2p+1} by

$$\begin{cases} f(u_1) = 3p + 2, \\ f(u_2) = p + 1, \\ f(u_i) = i(2p - 1) - p + 3 & 3 \le i \le p, \\ f(u_{p+1}) = 2p + 2, \\ f(u_{p+2}) = 1, \\ f(u_{p+i}) = i(2p - 1) - 2p + 3 & 3 \le i \le p, \\ f(u_{2p+1}) = p + 2. \end{cases}$$

Then the vertex u_p has the maximum colour: $f(u_p) = p(2p-1) - p + 3 = 2p^2 - 2p + 3$. We only have to show that the distance condition is verified for two vertices u_i and u_{p+j} , $3 \le i, j \le p$ (the other cases can be easily checked). We want

$$\begin{split} |f(u_{p+j}) - f(u_i)| &\geq 1 + (D-1) - d(u_{p+j}, u_i) \Leftrightarrow \\ |j(2p-1) - 2p + 3 - (i(2p-1) - p + 3)| &\geq 2p - (p+j-i) \Leftrightarrow \\ |(j-i)(2p-1) - p| &\geq p - j + i. \end{split}$$

If $j - i \ge 1$ then $|(j - i)(2p - 1) - p| = (j - i)(2p - 1) - p \ge 2p - 1 - p = p - 1 \ge p - j + i$. If j - i < 1 then $|(j - i)(2p - 1) - p| = -(j - i)(2p - 1) + p = (i - j)(2p - 1) + p \ge p - j + i$ for $p \ge 1$.

Case 2. n = 2p is even for an integer $p \ge 3$. Define a colouring f of P_{2p} by

$$\begin{cases} f(u_1) = p, \\ f(u_i) = (p-i)(2p-1) + 2 & 2 \le i \le p-1, \\ f(u_p) = 2p^2 - 4p + 5, \\ f(u_{p+i}) = 2p^2 - 4p + 6 - f(u_{p-i+1}) & 1 \le i \le p. \end{cases}$$

Then the vertex u_p has the maximum colour: $f(u_p) = 2p^2 - 4p + 5$. We only have to show that the distance condition is verified for two vertices u_i and u_{p+j} , $2 \le i \le p-1$, $1 \le j \le p$ (the other cases can be easily checked). We want

$$\begin{split} |f(u_{p+j}) - f(u_i)| &\geq 1 + (D-1) - d(u_{p+j}, u_i) \Leftrightarrow \\ |(p-j)(2p-1) + 3 - ((p-i)(2p-1) - p + 2)| &\geq 2p - 1 - (p+j-i) \Leftrightarrow \\ |(i-j)(2p-1) + p + 1| &\geq p - j + i - 1. \end{split}$$

If $i - j \ge 0$ then $|(i - j)(2p - 1) + p + 1| = (i - j)(2p - 1) + p + 1 \ge p - j + i - 1$ since $(i - j)(2p - 2) \ge -1$ for $p \ge 1$.

If i-j < 0, i.e. if $j-i \ge 1$ then $|(i-j)(2p-1)+p+1| = (j-i)(2p-1)-p-1 \ge p-j+i-1$ since $2p(j-i) \ge 2p$.

Theorem 6 For any $n \ge 5$,

$$\operatorname{ac}(P_n) \ge \begin{cases} 2p^2 - 2p + 3 & \text{if } n = 2p + 1, \\ 2p^2 - 4p + 5 & \text{if } n = 2p. \end{cases}$$

Proof: for n = 2p + 1, by Lemma 1 we have $\operatorname{rc}_{n-1}(P_n) \leq \operatorname{ac}(P_n) + (n-1)$. This together with Theorem 4 gives $\operatorname{ac}(P_{2p+1}) \geq 2p^2 + 3 - 2p$.

For n = 2p, let $D = D(P_{2p}) = 2p - 1$. We will use Lemma 2 with the radio (D-1)-colouring f of P_{2p} described in the proof of Theorem 5 and with k = D - 1 = 2p - 1 and k' = D = 2p. Keeping the notation of Lemma 2, one can see that f is such that $x_1 = u_{p+1}, x_2 = u_1, x_3 = u_{2p-1}, x_4 = u_{p-1}, \ldots, x_{2j+1} = u_{2p-j+1}, x_{2j} = u_{p-j+1}, \ldots, x_{2p-1} = u_{2p}, x_{2p} = u_p$. Thus ϵ_3 verifies

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_3 &= |f(x_3) - f(x_2)| - (1 + k - d(x_3, x_2)) \\ &= |f(u_{2p-1}) - f(u_1)| - (1 + 2p - 2 - (2p - 2))) \\ &= |2p^2 - 4p + 6 - f(u_2) - f(u_1)| - 1 \\ &= |2p^2 - 4p + 6 - (p - 2)(2p - 1) - 2 - p| - 1 \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

A similar calculus gives $\epsilon_{2p-1} = 1$ and $\epsilon_i = 0$ for all other indices. Thus, as k' - k = 1 and $p \ge 3$, applying Lemma 2 with $I = \{3, 2p - 1\}$ gives

$$\operatorname{rc}_{2p-1}(P_{2p}) \le \operatorname{ac}(P_{2p}) + (2p-1) - \epsilon_3 - \epsilon_{2p-1},$$

that is

$$\operatorname{ac}(P_{2p}) \ge \operatorname{rc}_{2p-1}(P_{2p}) - (2p-1) + \epsilon_3 + \epsilon_{2p-1}.$$

By virtue of Theorem 4 we obtain $\operatorname{ac}(P_{2p}) \ge 2p^2 - 2p + 2 - (2p - 1) + 1 + 1 = 2p^2 - 4p + 5.$

References

- G. Chartrand, D. Erwin, F. Harary, and P. Zhang. Radio labelings of graphs. Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl., 33:77–85, 2001. Zbl 0989.05102
- [2] G. Chartrand, D. Erwin, and P. Zhang. Radio antipodal colorings of cycles. Congr. Numerantium 144, 129-141 (2000). Zbl 0976.05028
- [3] G. Chartrand, D. Erwin, and P. Zhang. Radio antipodal colorings of graphs. Math. Bohem., 127(1):57–69, 2002. Zbl 0995.05056
- [4] G. Chartrand, L. Nebeský, and P. Zhang. Radio k-colorings of paths. Discuss. Math. Graph Theory, 24:5–21, 2004. Zbl 1056.05053
- [5] D. Kuo and J-H. Yan. On L(2, 1)-labelings of Cartesian products of paths and cycles. *Discrete Math.*, 283(1-3):137–144, 2004. Zbl 1043.05104
- [6] D. Liu and X. Zhu. Multi-level distance labelings for paths and cycles. SIAM J. Discrete Mathematics, to appear.